arXiv:1703.01543v1 [math.OA] 5 Mar 2017

ISOMETRIES OF PERFECT NORM IDEALS OF COMPACT OPERATORS

BEHZOD AMINOV AND VLADIMIR CHILIN

Abstract. It is proved that for every surjective linear isometry V on a perfect Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E \neq \mathcal{C}_2$ of compact operators, acting in a complex separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert $\mathcal{H}$ there exist unitary operators u and v on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V(x) = uxv$ or $V(x) = ux^tv$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, where x^t is a transpose of an operator x with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$. In addition, it is shown that any surjective 2-local isometry on a perfect Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E \neq \mathcal{C}_2$ is a linear isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E) \subset c_0$ be a real Banach symmetric sequence space. Consider an ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ of compact linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$, which is defined by the relations

$$x \in \mathcal{C}_E \Longleftrightarrow \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in E$$

and

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = \|\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_E,$$

where $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are the singular values of x (i.e. the eigenvalues of $(x^*x)^{1/2}$ in decreasing order). In the paper [10] it is shown that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$ is a Banach norm on $\mathcal{C}_E$. In addition, $\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{C}_{l_1} \subset \mathcal{C}_E$.

In the case when $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a separable Banach space, the Banach ideal $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ is a minimal Banach ideal in the terminology of Schatten [16], i.e. the set of finite rank operators is dense in $\mathcal{C}_E$.

It is known [18] that for every surjective linear isometry V on a minimal Banach ideal $\mathcal{C}_E \neq \mathcal{C}_2$, where $\mathcal{C}_2 := \mathcal{C}_{l_2}$, there exist unitary operators u and v on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$V(x) = uxv \quad (\text{or} \quad V(x) = ux^tv) \tag{1}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, where x^t is the transpose of the operator x with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. In the case of a Banach ideal $\mathcal{C}_1$, a description of surjective linear isometries of the form of (1) was obtained in [15], and for the ideals $\mathcal{C}_p := \mathcal{C}_{l_p},\ 1 \leq p \leq \infty,\ p \neq 2$, in [2].

In this paper we show that, in the case a Banach symmetric sequence space $E \neq l_2$ with Fatou property, every surjective linear isometry V on $\mathcal{C}_E$ has the form (1). In addition, it is proved that in this case any 2-local surjective isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$ also is of the form (1).

Date: March 4, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L52(primary), 46B04 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Banach symmetric ideal of compact operators, Fatou property, Hermitian operator, 2-local isometry.

2. Preliminaries

Let l_∞ (respectively, c_0) be a Banach lattice of all bounded (respectively, converging to zero) sequences $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of real numbers with respect to the norm $\|\{\xi_n\}\|_\infty = \sup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|\xi_n|$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of natural numbers. If $x = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\infty$, then a non-increasing rearrangement of x is defined by

$$x^* = \{\xi_n^*\}, \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_n^* := \inf_{|F|<n} \sup_{n\notin F} |\xi_n|, \quad F \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathbb{N}.$$

A non-zero linear subspace $E \subset l_\infty$ with a Banach norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is called *Banach symmetric sequences space* if the conditions $y \in E$, $x \in l_\infty$, $x^* \le y^*$, imply that $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_E \le \|y\|_E$. In this case, the inequality $\|x\|_\infty \le \|x\|_E$ follows for each $x \in E$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$) be the $*$-algebra of all bounded (respectively, compact, finite rank) linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$. It is well known that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$$

for any proper two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (see for example, [17, Proposition 2.1]).

If $(E, \|\cdot\|_E) \subset c_0$ is a Banach symmetric sequence space, then the set

$$\mathcal{C}_E := \{x \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) : \{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E\}$$

is a proper two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ([3]; see also [17, Theorem 2.5]). In addition, $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = \|\{s_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty\|_E$ [10], and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$ has the following properties

1) $\|xzy\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} \le \|x\|_\infty\|y\|_\infty\|z\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $z \in \mathcal{C}_E$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the usual operator norm in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$;

2) $\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = \|x\|_\infty$ if $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$ is of rank 1.

In this case we say that $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ is a *Banach symmetric ideal* (cf. [9, Ch. III], [17, Ch. 1, §1.7]). It is clear that $\|uxv\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$ for all unitary operators $u, v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Besides,

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_E \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \ \text{ and } \ \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} \le \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_1}, \quad \|y\|_\infty \le \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$, $y \in \mathcal{C}_E$.

A Banach symmetric sequence space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ (respectively, a Banach symmetric ideal $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$) is said to have *order continuous norm* if $\|a_k\|_E \downarrow 0$ (respectively, $\|a_k\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} \downarrow 0$) whenever $a_k \in E$ (respectively, $a_k \in \mathcal{C}_E$) and $a_k \downarrow 0$. It is known that $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ has order continuous norm if and only if $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ has order continuous norm (see, for example, [5, Proposition 3.6]). Moreover, every Banach symmetric ideal with order continuous norm is a minimal norm ideal, i.e. the subspace $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_E$.

If $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach symmetric sequence space (respectively, $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ is a Banach symmetric ideal), then the Köthe dual $E^\times$ (respectively, $\mathcal{C}_E^\times$) is defined as

$$E^\times = \{\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_\infty : \ \xi\eta \in l_1 \ \text{ for all } \ \eta \in E\}$$
$$\text{(respectively, } \ \mathcal{C}_E^\times = \{x \in B(H) : \ xy \in \mathcal{C}_1 \ \text{ for all } \ y \in \mathcal{C}_E\})$$

and

$$\|\xi\|_{E^\times} = \sup\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\xi_n\eta_n| : \eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in E, \ \|\eta\|_E \le 1\} \ \text{ if } \ \xi \in E^\times$$

$$\text{(respectively, } \ \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^\times} = \sup\{tr(|xy|) : y \in \mathcal{C}_E,\ \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} \le 1\} \ \text{ if } \ x \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times),$$

where $tr(\cdot)$ is the standard trace on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

It is known that $(E^\times, \|\cdot\|_{E^\times})$ (respectively, $(\mathcal{C}_E^\times, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^\times})$ is a Banach symmetric sequence space (respectively, a Banach symmetric ideal). In addition, $\mathcal{C}_1^\times = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and if $\mathcal{C}_E \neq \mathcal{C}_1$, then $\mathcal{C}_E^\times \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ [7, Proposition 7]. We also note the following useful property [5, Theorem 5.6]:

$$(\mathcal{C}_E^\times, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^\times}) = (\mathcal{C}_{E^\times}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_{E^\times}}).$$

A Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ is said to be *perfect* if $\mathcal{C}_E = \mathcal{C}_E^{\times\times}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}_E$ is perfect if and only if $E = E^{\times\times}$.

A Banach symmetric sequence space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ (respectively, a Banach symmetric ideal $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$) is said to possess *Fatou property* if the conditions

$$0 \le a_k \le a_{k+1},\ a_k \in E \ \text{ (respectively, } \ a_k \in \mathcal{C}_E) \ \text{ for all } \ k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \sup_{k\ge1} \|a_k\|_E < \infty \ \text{ (respectively, } \ \sup_{k\ge1} \|a_k\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} < \infty)$$

imply that there exists $a \in E$ ($a \in \mathcal{C}_E$) such that $a_k \uparrow a$ and $\|a\|_E = \sup\limits_{k\ge1} \|a_k\|_E$ (respectively, $\|a\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = \sup\limits_{k\ge1} \|a_k\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$).

It is known that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ (respectively, $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$) has the Fatou property if and only if $E = E^{\times\times}$ [12, Vol. II, Ch. 1, Section a] (respectively, $\mathcal{C}_E = \mathcal{C}_E^{\times\times}$ [5, Theorem 5.14]). Therefore

$$\mathcal{C}_E \ \text{ is perfect } \Leftrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}_E = \mathcal{C}_E^{\times\times} \ \Leftrightarrow\ E = E^{\times\times} \ \Leftrightarrow$$

$$E \ \text{ has the Fatou property } \Leftrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}_E \ \text{ has Fatou property.}$$

If $y \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$, then a linear functional $f_y(x) = tr(xy) = tr(yx),\ x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, is continuous on $\mathcal{C}_E$. In addition, $\|f_y\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^*} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^\times}$, where $\mathcal{C}_E^*$ is the dual of the Banach space $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ (see, for example, [7]). Identifying an element $y \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$ and the linear functional f_y, we may assume that $\mathcal{C}_E^\times$ is a closed linear subspace in $\mathcal{C}_E^*$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{C}_E^\times$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_E^\times$ is a total subspace in $\mathcal{C}_E^*$. Thus, the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$ is a Hausdorff topology.

Proposition 1. [4, Proposition 2.8] *A linear functional $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^*$ is continuous with respect to weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$ (i.e. $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$) if and only if $f(x_n) \to 0$ for every sequence $x_n \downarrow 0,\ x_n \in \mathcal{C}_E,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

It is clear that $\mathcal{C}_E^h = \{x \in \mathcal{C}_E : x = x^*\}$ is a real Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$; besides, the cone $\mathcal{C}_E^+ = \{x \in \mathcal{C}_E^h : x \ge 0\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}_E^h$ and $\mathcal{C}_E^h = \mathcal{C}_E^+ - \mathcal{C}_E^+$. If $0 \le x_n \le\ x_{n+1} \le x,\ x, x_n \in \mathcal{C}_E^h,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $\sup_{n\ge1} x_n \in \mathcal{C}_E^h$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_E^h$ is a quasi-(o)-complete space [1]. Hence, by [1, Theorem 1], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Every linear functional $f \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$ is a difference of two positive linear functionals from $(\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$.*

We need the following property of the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$.

Proposition 3. *If $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $x_n \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} x$.*

Proof. It suffices to establish the validity of Proposition 3 for

$$0 \le x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j p_j \in \mathcal{C}_E \setminus \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\lambda_j \ge 0$ are the eigenvalues of the compact operator x and $p_j \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ are finite-dimensional projectors for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (the series converges with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$). If $y_n = \sum\limits_{j=n}^{\infty} \lambda_j p_j$, then $y_n \downarrow 0$ and, by Proposition, 1 we have $f(y_n) \to 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x - y_n \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} x.$$

□

Let T be a bounded linear operator acting in a Banach symmetric ideal $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$, and let T^* be its adjoint operator. If $T^*(\mathcal{C}_E^\times) \subset \mathcal{C}_E^\times$, then

$$f(T(x_\alpha)) = T^*(f)(x_\alpha) \to T^*(f)(x) = f(T(x))$$

for every net $x_\alpha \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} x,\ x_\alpha, x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, and for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$. Thus T is a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous operator.

Inversely, if the operator T is a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous and $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$, then the linear functional $(T^*f)(x) = f(T(x))$ is also $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous, i.e. $T^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$.

Therefore, a linear bounded operator $T\colon \mathcal{C}_E \to \mathcal{C}_E$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous if and only if $T^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$. Thus, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and linear bounded operators $T, S : \mathcal{C}_E \to \mathcal{C}_E$, continuous with respect to a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-topology, the operators $T + S$, TS and λT are $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)$ be the Banach space of bounded linear operators T acting in $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ with the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)} = \sup_{\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} \le 1} \|T(x)\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}.$$

Proposition 4. *If $T_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)$ are $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous operators, $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)$, and $\|T_n - T\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then T is also $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $T^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$ for any functional $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$. Since $T_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)$ are $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous operators, it follows that $T_n^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$. Considering the closed subspace $\mathcal{C}_E^\times$ in $(\mathcal{C}_E^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^*})$ and noting that

$$\|T_n^*(f) - T^*(f)\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^*} \le \|T_n^* - T^*\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E^*)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^*} = \|T_n - T\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^*} \to 0,$$

we conclude that $T^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$. □

We also need the following well-known properties of perfect symmetrically normed ideals.

Theorem 1. *Let $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}) \subset c_0$ be a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property. Then*

(i). [5, Theorem 5.11]. $\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = \sup\limits_{y \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times, \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^\times} \le 1} |tr(xy)|$ *for every* $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$;

(ii). [4, Theorem 3.5]. *A Banach space $\mathcal{C}_E$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-sequentially complete, i.e. if for $x_n \in \mathcal{C}_E,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$ there is $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f(x_n)$, then there exists $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$ such that $x_n \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E,\mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} x$.*

3. The ball topology in norm ideals of compact operators

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a real Banach space and let b_X be the ball topology in X, i.e. b_X is the coarsest topology such that every closed ball

$$B(x,\varepsilon) = \{y \in X : \|y - x\|_X \le \varepsilon\},\ \varepsilon > 0,$$

is closed in b_X [8]. The family

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B(x_i, \varepsilon_i),\ x_i \in X,\ \|x_0 - x\|_X > \varepsilon_i,\ i = 1, ..., n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

is a base of neighborhoods of the point $x_0 \in X$ in b_X. Therefore $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{b_X} x,\ x_\alpha, x \in X$, if and only if $\liminf \|x_\alpha - x\|_X \ge \|x - y\|_X$ for all $y \in X$ [8]. In particular, every surjective isometry V in $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is continuous with respect to the ball topology b_X.

Let us note that b_X is not a Hausdorff topology. The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for T_2-axiom of b_X on subsets of X. Recall that A is a Rosenthal subset of $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ if every sequence in A has a weakly Cauchy subsequence.

Theorem 2. [8, Theorem 3.3]. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a real Banach space, and let A be a bounded absolutely convex Rosenthal subset of X. Then (A, b_X) is a Hausdorff space.*

In the proof of required properties of the ball topology (see Theorem 3 below), we utilize the following well-known proposition.

Proposition 5. [14, section 3, Ch. 1, §5]. *Let p_{nk} be real numbers, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} |p_{nk}| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let the limit $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} p_{nk} = p_k$ exist for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence*

$$s_n = p_{n1}r_1 + p_{n2}r_2 + \ldots + p_{nn}r_n$$

converges for every convergent sequence $\{r_n\}$.

Theorem 3. *Let $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ be a Banach symmetric ideal, $x_n \in \mathcal{C}_E^+$, and let $x_n \downarrow 0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ can converge with respect to the topology $b_{\mathcal{C}_E}$ to no more than one element.*

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{C}_E$ as a real Banach space. Let A be the absolutely convex hull of the sequence $\{0, x_1, ..., x_n, ...\}$. Since $x_n \downarrow 0$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}$ is monotone, it follows that A is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{C}_E$. Let us show that A is a Rosenthal subset.

Using the inequality $0 \le x_{n+1} \le x_n$ and a decomposition of linear functional $f \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$ as the difference of two positive functionals from $(\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$ (see Proposition 2), we conclude that there exists $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = r_n$ for every functional $f \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$. For any sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$, we have

$$y_n = p_{n1}x_1 + p_{n2}x_2 + \ldots + p_{nk(n)}x_{k(n)}, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} |p_{ni}| = 1;$$

in particular, $p_{ni} \in [-1,1]$ for all $i \in 1,\dots,k(n)$. Consider a sequence

$$q_n = (p_{n1}, p_{n2} \dots, p_{nk(n)}, 0, 0 \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}[-1,1].$$

By Tychonoff Theorem, a set $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}[-1,1]$ is compact with respect to the product topology. Moreover, by [11, ch. 4, theorem 17], the set $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}[-1,1]$ is a metrizable compact. Hence, the sequence $\{q_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{q_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. In particular, there are the limits $\lim\limits_{n_i\to\infty} p_{n_i k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Besides, the sequence $f(y_{n_i}) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} p_{n_i j} f(x_j)$, $f \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$, satisfies all conditions of Proposition 5, which implies its convergence. Therefore, the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ has a weakly Cauchy subsequence $\{y_{n_i}\}$. This means that A is a Rosenthal subset of $(\mathcal{C}_E^h, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$.

By Theorem 2, the topological space $(A, b_{\mathcal{C}_E^h})$ is Hausdorff, hence the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ could not have more than one limit with respect to the topology $b_{\mathcal{C}_E^h}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_E^h$ is a closed subspace in $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ (we assume that $\mathcal{C}_E$ is a real space), it follows that the restriction $(b_{\mathcal{C}_E})|_{\mathcal{C}_E^h}$ is finer than $b_{\mathcal{C}_E^h}$. Therefore, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ can have no more than one limit with respect to the topology $b_{\mathcal{C}_E}$. □

Proposition 6. *If $E \subset c_0$ is a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property, then $b_{\mathcal{C}_E} \le \sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^{\times})$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $B(0,1) = \{x \in \mathcal{C}_E : \|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} \le 1\}$ is closed with respect to the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^{\times})$. Let $x_\alpha \in B(0,1)$ and $x_\alpha \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E,\mathcal{C}_E^{\times})}{\longrightarrow} x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Assume that $x \notin B(0,1)$, i.e. $\|x\|_{\mathcal{C}_E} = q > 1$, and let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $q - \epsilon > 1$. By Theorem 1 (i), there exists $y \in \mathcal{C}_E^{\times}$ such that $\|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_E^{\times}} \le 1$ and $q \ge |tr(xy)| > q - \epsilon$.

On the other hand, $x_n \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E,\mathcal{C}_E^{\times})}{\longrightarrow} x$ implies that $|tr(x_n y)| \to |tr(xy)|$. Since $x_n \in B(0,1)$, it follows that $|tr(x_n y)| \le 1$ and therefore $|tr(xy)| \le 1$, which is impossible. Thus $B(0,1)$ is a closed set in $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^{\times})$. □

4. Weak continuity of Hermitian operators in the perfect ideals of compact operators

In this section, we establish $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^{\times})$-continuity of the Hermitian operator acting in a perfect Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ of compact operators. For that we need $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^{\times})$-continuity of a surjective isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$.

Recall that the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges weakly unconditionally in a Banach space X if a numerical series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} f(x_n)$ converges absolutely for every $f \in X^*$ [19, Ch. 2, §3].

Proposition 7. [19, Ch. 2, §3]. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space, $x_n \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) A series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges weakly unconditionally;

(ii) There exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\sup_N \| \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_n x_n \|_X \leq C \| \{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \|_\infty$$

for all $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_\infty$.

Proposition 7 implies the following.

Corollary 4. *If V is a surjective linear isometry on a Banach space X and a series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges weakly unconditionally in X, then the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} V(x_n)$ also converges weakly unconditionally in X.*

Now we can show that every surjective linear isometry of $\mathcal{C}_E$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous.

Proposition 8. *Let $\mathcal{C}_E$ be a perfect Banach symmetric ideal of compact operators and V a surjective linear isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$. Then V is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $V^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$ for each functional $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$. According to Proposition 1, it should be established that $x_n \downarrow 0$, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{C}_E^+$, implies $f(V(x_n)) = V^*(f)(x_n) \to 0$.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{C}_E$ and $x_n \downarrow 0$. We will show that the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - x_{n+1})$ converges weakly unconditionally in $\mathcal{C}_E$. If $f \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$ is a positive linear functional, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} |f(x_n - x_{n+1})| = f(\sum_{n=1}^{m} (x_n - x_{n+1})) = f(x_1 - x_{m+1}) \leq f(x_1)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the numerical series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} f(x_n - x_{n+1})$ converges absolutely. Since every functional $f \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$ is the difference of two positive functionals from $(\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$ (see Proposition 2), the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - x_{n+1})$ converges weakly unconditionally in $\mathcal{C}_E^h$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^*$. Denote

$$u(x) = Ref(x) = \frac{f(x) + \overline{f(x)}}{2}, \; v(x) = Imf(x) = \frac{f(x) - \overline{f(x)}}{2i}, \; x \in \mathcal{C}_E.$$

It is clear that $u, v \in (\mathcal{C}_E^h)^*$. Therefore, the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} u(x_n - x_{n+1})$ and $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} v(x_n - x_{n+1})$ converge absolutely. Thus, the series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} f(x_n - x_{n+1})$ also converges absolutely. This means that a series $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n - x_{n+1})$ converges weakly unconditionally in $\mathcal{C}_E$.

By Corollary 4, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Vx_n - Vx_{n+1})$$

converges weakly unconditionally in $\mathcal{C}_E$. Hence the numerical series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(Vx_n - Vx_{n+1}) = f(Vx_1) - \lim_{n\to\infty} f(Vx_n)$$

converges for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^*$. In particular, the limit $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f(Vx_n)$ exists. Since $\mathcal{C}_E$ is a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-sequentially complete set (see Theorem 1(ii)) and V is a bijection, it follows that there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_E$ such that $V(x_n) \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E,\mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} V(x_0)$ as $n \to \infty$.

It remains to show that $V(x_0) = 0$. By Proposition 6,

$$V(x_n) \overset{b_{\mathcal{C}_E}}{\longrightarrow} V(x_0).$$

Since the isometry V^{-1} is continuous with respect to the topology $b_{\mathcal{C}_E}$, it follows that $x_n \overset{b_{\mathcal{C}_E}}{\longrightarrow} x_0$. Now, taking into account that $x_n \downarrow 0$, we obtain $x_n \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E,\mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} 0$ (see Proposition 1). Then, by Proposition 6, $x_n \overset{b_{\mathcal{C}_E}}{\longrightarrow} 0$, and Theorem 3 implies that $x_0 = 0$. □

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a complex Banach space. A bounded linear operator $T\colon X \to X$ is called Hermitian, if the operator $e^{itT} = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(itT)^n}{n!}$ is an isometry of the space X for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see, for example, [6, Ch. 5, §2]).

We show that a Hermitian operator acting in a perfect Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous.

Theorem 5. *Let $E \subset c_0$ be a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property, and let T be a Hermitian operator acting in $\mathcal{C}_E$. Then T is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous.*

Proof. Consider the non-negative continuous function $\alpha(t) = \|e^{itT} - I\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_E)}$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As $\alpha(0) = 0$, it follows that there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t_0) < 1$. Since the operator T is Hermitian, it follows that the operator $V = e^{it_0T}$ (and hence $V^{-1} = e^{-it_0T}$) is an isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$. By Proposition 8, $S = V - I$ is a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous operator. In addition, $\|S\| = \alpha(t_0) < 1$. Now, since

$$it_0T = ln(I + S) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}S^n}{n},$$

Proposition 4 implies that it_0T is a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous operator. Therefore, T is a $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous operator. □

If a, b are self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, and

$$T(x) = ax + xb \tag{2}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$, then T is a Hermitian operator acting in $\mathcal{C}_E$ [18]. In the following theorem, utilizing the method of proof of Theorem 1 in [18], we show that every Hermitian operators acting in a perfect norm ideal $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E}) \neq C_2$ has the form (2).

Theorem 6. *Let $E \subset c_0$ be a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property, $E \neq l_2$, and let T be a Hermitian operator acting in a Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$. Then there are self-adjoint operators $a, b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T(x) = ax + xb$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1 from [18], we have that there are self-adjoint operators $a, b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T(x) = ax + xb$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Fix $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. By Proposition 3, there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $x_n \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} x$. If $y \in \mathcal{C}_E^\times$, then

$$tr(y(ax_n + x_n b)) = tr((ya)x_n) + tr((by)x_n) \to tr((ya)x) + tr((by)x) =$$

$$= tr(y(ax) + tr(y(xb))) = tr(y(ax + xb)).$$

Therefore

$$ax_n + x_n b \overset{\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)}{\longrightarrow} ax + xb.$$

Since T is a Hermitian operator, it follows that T is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous (see Theorem 5). Therefore

$$T(x) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times) - \lim_{n\to\infty} T(x_n) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times) - \lim_{n\to\infty} (ax_n + x_n b) = ax + xb.$$

□

5. Isometries of a Banach symmetric ideal

In this section, we prove our main result, Theorem 7. The proof of Theorem 7 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 in [18]. We use a version of Theorem 1 in [18] for a perfect Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ (Theorem 6) as well as $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuity of every isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$ (Proposition 8) and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-density of the space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ in $\mathcal{C}_E$ (Proposition 3).

Recall that x^t stands for the transpose of an operator $x \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 7. *Let $E \subset c_0$ be a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property, $E \neq l_2$, and let V be a surjective linear isometry on the Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$. Then there are unitary operators u and v on $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$V(x) = uxv \quad (or \quad V(x) = ux^t v) \tag{3}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$

Note that each linear operator of the form (3) is an isometry on every Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$.

Proof. Let $y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and let $l_y(x) = yx$ (respectively, $r_y(x) = xy$) for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. It is clear that l_y and r_y are bounded linear operators acting in $\mathcal{C}_E$. Using Theorem 6 and repeating the proof of the Theorem 2 [18], we conclude that there are unitary operators $u, v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $V l_y V^{-1} = l_{uyu^*}$ and $V r_y V^{-1} = r_{v^* y v}$ for any $y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

In the case $V l_y V^{-1} = l_{uyu^*}$, we define an isometry V_0 on $\mathcal{C}_E$ by the equation $V_0(x) = u^* V(x) v^*$. As in the proof of Theorem 2 [18], we get that $V_0(x) = \lambda x$ for every $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since the isometry V_0 is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$-continuous (Proposition 8) and the space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_E, \mathcal{C}_E^\times)$- dense in $\mathcal{C}_E$ (Proposition 3), it follows that $V_0(x) = \lambda x$ for every $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Now, since V_0 is an isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$, we have $\lambda = 1$, i.e. $V(x) = uxv$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$.

In the case $V l_y V^{-1} = r_{v^* y v}$, as in the proof of Theorem 2 [18], we use the above to derive that there are unitary operators $u, v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $V(x) = ux^t v$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. □

Corollary 8. *Let $E \subset c_0$ be a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property, $E \neq l_2$, and let V be a surjective linear isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$. Then $V(yx^*y) = V(y)(V(x))^*V(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_E$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7, there are unitary operators u and v on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V(x) = uxv$ or $V(x) = ux^tv$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_E$. If $V(x) = uxv$, then

$$V(y)(V(x))^*V(y) = (uyv)(uxv)^*(uyv) = uyx^*yv = V(yx^*y),\ x, y \in \mathcal{C}_E.$$

Since $(x^t)^* = (x^*)^t,\ x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, in the case $V(x) = ux^tv$, we have

$$V(y)(V(x))^*V(y) = (uy^tv)(ux^tv)^*(uy^tv) =$$

$$= uy^t(x^*)^ty^tv = u(y(x^*)y)^tv = V(yx^*y),\ x, y \in \mathcal{C}_E.$$

□

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be an arbitrary complex Banach space. A surjective (not necessarily linear) mapping $T\colon X \to X$ is called a surjective 2-local isometry [13], if for any $x, y \in X$ there exists a surjective linear isometry $V_{x,y}$ on X such that $T(x) = V_{x,y}(x)$ and $T(y) = V_{x,y}(y)$. It is clear that every surjective linear isometry on X is automatically a surjective 2-local isometry on X. In addition,

$$T(\lambda x) = V_{x,\lambda x}(\lambda x) = \lambda V_{x,\lambda x}(x) = \lambda T(x)$$

for any $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular,

$$T(0) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Thus, in order to establish linearity of a 2-local isometry T, it is sufficient to show that $T(x + y) = T(x) + T(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Note also that

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\|_X = \|V_{x,y}(x) - V_{x,y}(y)\|_X = \|x - y\|_X \tag{5}$$

for any $x, y \in X$. Therefore, in the case a real Banach space X, from (4), (5) and Mazur-Ulam Theorem (see, for example, [6, Chapter I, §1.3, Theorem 1.3.5.]) it follows that every surjective 2-local isometry on X is a linear. For complex Banach spaces, this fact is not valid.

Using the description of isometries on a minimal Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ from [18], L. Molnar proved that every surjective 2-local isometry on a minimal Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$ is necessarily linear [13, Corallary 5].

The following Theorem is a version of Molnar's result for a perfect Banach symmetric ideal.

Theorem 9. *Let $E \subset c_0$ be a Banach symmetric sequence space with Fatou property, $E \neq l_2$, and let V be a surjective 2-local isometry on a Banach symmetric ideal $\mathcal{C}_E$. Then V is a linear isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$.*

Proof. Fix $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $V_{x,y}\colon \mathcal{C}_E \to \mathcal{C}_E$ be a surjective isometry such that $V(x) = V_{x,y}(x)$ and $V(y) = V_{x,y}(y)$. By Theorem 7, there are unitary operators u and v on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V(x) = uxv$ or $V(x) = ux^tv$ (respectively, $V(y) = uyv$ or $V(y) = uy^tv$). Then we have

$$tr(V(x)(V(y))^*) = tr(V_{x,y}(x)(V_{x,y}(y))^*) = tr(xy^*)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. In addition, $V(x) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and V is a bijective mapping on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

If $x, y, z \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$tr(V(x+y)(V(z))^*) = tr((x+y)z^*), \quad tr(V(x)V(z)^*) = tr(xz^*),$$
$$tr(V(y)V(z)^*) = tr(yz^*).$$

Therefore

$$tr((V(x+y) - V(x) - V(y))(V(z))^*) = 0$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Taking $z = x + y$, $z = x$ and $z = y$, we obtain

$$tr((V(x+y) - V(x) - V(y)((V(x+y) - V(x) - V(y))^*) = 0,$$

that is, $V(x+y) = V(x) + V(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Hence V is a bijective linear isometry on a normed space $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the closure of the subspace $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ in the Banach space $(\mathcal{C}_E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$. It is clear that $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$ is a minimal Banach symmetric ideal. Since V is a surjective linear isometry on $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$, it follows that V is a surjective linear isometry on $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_E})$.

By Theorem 2 [18], there are unitary operators u_1 and v_1 on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V(x) = u_1 x v_1$ or $V(x) = u_1 x^t v_1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Repeating the ending of the proof of Theorem 1 in [13], we conclude that V is a linear isometry on $\mathcal{C}_E$. □

References

[1] T. Ando. On fundamental properties of Banach space with a cone. Pacific J. Math. 12(1962), 1163-1169

[2] J. Arazy. The isometries of C_p. Israel J. Math. 22(1975), 247-256.

[3] J. Calkin, Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space, Ann. of Math. 1941. V 42. No 2. P. 839-873.

[4] P.G.Dodds, C.J.Lennard. Normality in trace ideals. I. Operator theory. V. 16. 1986. P. 127-145.

[5] P. G. Dodds, T. K. Dodds, and B. Pagter, Noncommutative Köthe duality, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (2)(1993), 717-750.

[6] R.J.Fleming, J.E.Jamison. Isometries on Banach spaces: function spaces. Chapman-Hall/CRC. 2003.

[7] D.J.H.Garling. On ideals of operators in hilbert space. Proc. London Math. Soc. V. 17. 1967. P. 115-138.

[8] G.Godefroy, N.J.Kalton, *The ball topology and its applications.* –Contemp. Math. **85** (1989), 195–237.

[9] I.C. Gohberg , M.G.Krein. Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators . Translations of mathematical monographs. Volume 18. AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY.Providence, Rhode Island 02904. 1969

[10] N.J. Kalton, F.A. Sukochev, Symmetric norms and spaces of operators, J. Reine Angew. Math., 2008. V. 621. P. 81-121.

[11] L.Kelley, *General Topology.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Vol. 27. Springer-Verlag New York. 1975.

[12] J.Lindenstrauss, L.Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin-New York. 1996.

[13] L.Molnar. 2-local isometries of some operator algebras. Proc.Eding.Math.Soc. V.45. 2002. P. 349-352.

[14] G.Polya and G.Szego. Problems and theorems in analysis. Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag. Berlin and New York. Vol. I. 1972.

[15] B. Russo. Isometries of the trace class. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969). 213.

[16] R. Schatten, Norm Ideals of Completely Continuous Operators. Springer-Verlag. Berlin. 1960.

[17] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications,* Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **120**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[18] A.Sourour, Isometries of norm ideals of compact operators. J.Funct.Anal. V. 43. 1981. P. 69-77

[19] P. Wojtaszczyk. Banach spaces for analysts. Cambridge Univercity Press. 1991.

National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, 700174, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: behzod2@list.ru, aminovbehzod@gmail.com

National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, 700174, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: vladimirchil@gmail.com, chilin@ucd.uz